App F IX 4]

1924. (Mayrai

File No. 322-24

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

Previous References.
Mag 531-20-1-2
" 434-21-1,2 5 to 8
" 591-17-1-4
" 531-20-3-4
" 265-21-1, 2
Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 4

Part B for October 1925

SUBJECT

Recommends that the period (from 7-1-1891 to 31 March 1901 both days inclusive) of service put in by Nagadi Guruvasa Sivanmalachar (Agraharadar Nattavaidi at Chamarajnagar Temple) may be counted towards pension —

G.O. dtd 473 4
dated 24.10.25

To the Mag. Coorg
Comptr

Sanctioned

No. 1293/M.25-47564 under cover Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 21st May 1925.

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.





Dn 1792

The Secretary to Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

ST 22/5

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter K.Pet. No. 31/24-25 dated 29th April 1925 together with its enclosures received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and to recommend that in the circumstances therein explained the service rendered by Tirumalachar as Gadekar and ugranada mutsaddi of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar from 7th January 1891 to 6th March 1901 (both days -- inclusive) may be ordered to count towards pension, the proportionate pension amount for this period being met from the funds of the institution.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.
(Absent from station.)

KSR.

Tirumalachar, who began his service as Gadekar in the Chamarajnagar Temple, served there for about 10 years, before he was entertained as Daffedar and in the Chamarajnagar Taluk office in 1901.

2. As the Comptr. requires Govt. sanction for -- counting the Muz:- service of the office

1792

from 7.1.1891 to 6.3.1907 to count towards pension, the M.C on the recommendations of the local officers, requests Govt sanction therefor. The proportionate charge is proposed to be met from the temple funds.

3 The case of one Chandrasekhara Bhatta, Shanik of the Bhamrajnagar temple, to whom pension has been sanctd very recently is put up as a precedent (Mem: 265-24).

4. Submd for orders as to whether the M.C's recommendation may be sanctd.

23-5-25

23/5/25 5. Please put up draft Sanction

26.5.25.

6. Draft is put up.

25.5.25

7. Pl. approve the order

27.5.25

Rec dly)
& Ag. Sr up.

Hon'ble Mr. H. Scott for the Consider

27.

Fl 2747 Hy with

Copy 10 There is precedent in favour of this of proposal and it may be Sanctioned of the A.J.-dept 1.6.25 recd.

Comm'r if necessary. It is well to create liabilities of this nature to be met from temple funds. I am not sure of temple service "full time service requiring pension rights to be attached to it. Govt If any consideration is necessary of it is well to see some practice for the service rendered with pension which is not indefinite future change

Letter No.R.Pen.31/24-25 dated 29th April 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

"In forwarding herewith the pension papers of Tirumala char late Nagadi Gumasta, Gundlupet Taluk, I have the honor to state that the service rendered by the applicant in the Muzrai Department from 7th January 1891 to 6th March 1901 does not count towards pension without the sanction of Government and to request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for the same as requested by the applicant and recommended by the Amildar Gundlupet in ~~the~~ his report. I am of the opinion that the applicant deserves this concession. The entire -- records accompany."

"C o p y"

20/5/25

for Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2310—GPB—10,000—31-5 24

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

~~[illegible]~~

11. Submitted for orders as to whether the recom[mendation] of the Mag[istrate] Cont[ai]n[ing] request for sanction or whether same gratuity for the Mus[lim] portion of the service may be paid. In the latter case, the M.C. will have to be consulted as to the amount to be paid & the source from which it is to be met.

14/7/16

Rec'd 14/7/16

15/7/16

393/16

12. What was the pay which he received when drawing as Tahsildar? Inform Registrar? What are his duties in the former post?

16/7/16

17/7/16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

X

Serial No.

13 From the history of services of the official, it will be seen that Rao Mr. service of the extended from 7-1-1891 to 6-3-1901 was that he served in the following capacities &

Appointment	Pay	Date of beginning	Date of ending
1. Gadekari	2-11-2	7-1-1891	18-5-92
2. Ugranada Mukaddi	2-14-7	19-5-92	13-8-92
3. Gadekari	2-11-2	14-8-92	0-9-92
4. Ugranada Mukaddi	2-14-7	1-10-92	9-3-94
5. Sarabarai Mukaddi	5-8-5-	10-3-94	9-9-94
6. Ugranada Mukaddi	2-14-7	10-9-94	31-12-97
7. — Do —	6-0-0	1-1-98	6-3-1901

14. It will be seen from the above that the service of the official as Gadekari of the temple was only for a short period of 1 year - 5 months - 29 days on a pay. The rest of the period he has worked as Ugranada Mukaddi on a pay of Rs 2-14-7 for 4 yrs, 11 months & 27 days and the remaining period on a pay of Rs 6-0-0

15. The duties of Gadekari appointment are not known. If approved it to be ascertained from the Chairman Pushkarni &c.

Yes 12 25/1

How duties of Gadekari appointment are to be ascertained.

30775.

24-7-25

24.7.25.

Rec-Sec

NOTE—Contd Sheet

B65WD.05[illegible]

G.R.S. III 21 6

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

File No. 327 of 1924

16. Draft calling for information is put up -

8/9 Rly 31/7/25 31.7.25 17. Done 8.8.25.

2. To the Mun Comm:
No 150 of 6.8.25
Requests him to ascertain from the Perkar direct the nature of the duties of the Gadekari's office & submits
7 The same to Govt

Sl. no. 3. From the Mun: Comr. in mysore, letter no. C. 1293 of 26-25 & 7-9-25
Explains the nature of the duties of the Gadekari office -
18. The duties of the Gadekar, as explained by the temple Peshkar, are to feed the temple elephant, to keep the place (stable) clean & to look after the elephant.
19. It is stated that the pay of the Gadekar, which was brought under savings for some time, was being disbursed to Nimalachar and clerical work taken by him -
20. Subd for orders with information required by the member in para 12.
21. Draft order, same for is to [illegible]

9/9 Rly 9-9-25

NOTE—Contn Sheet

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 372 of 1924

Serial No.

22. Paras 13 & 14 Can this rule be regarded Part 8 Query. Serial no 3 explains the duties of the Karakaran.

11.9.25

182 Member

288/119

23. Is service in the temple pensionable under the Rule

Have there been many cases in which persons have been absent for such term

25. Any observations from Govt, as to the number of such cases

18.9.25

ST 18/9.

26. Temple service is not pensionable under the M. S. Regs., ~~except in the cases of Govt. officials deputed for duty in temples.~~

27 There have been some cases in which pensions have been paid for many.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Serial No.

met from the funds of the temples concerned. A few cases are quoted and put up for refee:-

1) Pension of Rs 7-8-0 per mensem was sanctd to Rangamma for his long Muz: service of 44 yrs, the charge being met from the Bhamrajnagar Temple funds. (Muz 5-30-20-2).

H H

2) A pension of Rs 17-3-0 per mensem was sanctd to Srinivasiengar, late Poohkar of the Sri Ranganatha-swami temple at Seringapatam, the charge being met from the Bhamrajnagar temple, where he served for a long period.
(Muz 434-24-1, 2, 5 to 8)

A

3) A pension of Rs 6 per mensem was sanctd to Purnabhodra Rao, sheristedar of the temple from the funds of the above temple.
(Muz 5-91-17-1 to 4)

M

4) A pension of Rs 4 a month was sanctd to Shamb. Chandrashekhara bhatta of the above temple.
(Muz 265-24-2)

WD 0561-GPB-3750—1-8 22

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 322 of 192 /-

Serial No

28 In the case of Tirumalachar ~~in~~ point who has retired as Nagadi Gumasta of the Gundlupet Taluk, the recommendation is to count towards his pension his menial service of about 10 years in the Bhamarajnagar temple, the proportionate charge being met from the temple funds -

Submitted for orders -

ESD
21.9.25

U. 21.9.25

29 The charge in the above Rs 3 per month

ID
25.9.25.

182 member

707
28.9

30 I agree & his proposal & count the service in the temple for pension in the circumstances of the case. Considering that the addl pension charge which the proposal will entail will be only about Rs 3/- p.m. I think that it is not worth debiting to the temple and that it will be open to the persons may be debited to pay. If the Fin. Secy sees no objection to it

Fe 787/25

Elsewhere

31 May be allowed

ID
7.10.25

ID
2.10.25

BR

App. F. III—2]

WD 0569—GPB—40,000—

File No. of 192 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

erial No.

NOTE—Contd Sheet

3 0-4

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

32) Rept received & submd for obs*

Rs 10/10/25-

12.10.25.

Reg. Rec. 1st member

15.10.25

305/17-10

17.10.25.

33) ?

31/10

34) Issue

31.10.25.

Rs 1016/4-

35) Fe Secty to Govt. Order to be issued.

36) Draft & Fair Copies sent

Pr 29/10

37) Issue CCB 28/10/25

No Fwd.

4 To, Muz Commissioner thro' Finance

No 473-4 24.10.25

Sanctions the m.c's Recommendation — that the whole pension be, as a special case, debited to General Revenue

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

App.F.VI-G1.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mur

File No. 322-24

Serial No. 2

Diary No of letter replied to 1782

No. 150

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mur)

, *the* 192

To The Murasu [illegible] & Tahsildar

Sir,

With reference to your letter No-1293/24-[illegible] recommending that the [illegible] service of about 10 years [illegible] by Tirumalachar, retired [illegible] Gumasta of the Gundlupet Taluk, as Gadekar [illegible] Nanjangud of the [illegible] Varadarajaswami Temple at [illegible] nagar, may be [illegible] to convey [illegible] towards his pension,

Directed to inquire

Date of issue 6-8-25

Signed by Mr. J. R

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

11-4-6/8

over

Godbarai office may be ascertained from the Pesikar of the temple direct and furnished reported to Govt.-

I have etc

W. G. B.

for Secretary
Rev: Dept.

BB
31-7-25

BD
31/7/25

12127

No. C 1293 Jus 5 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 5th September 1925.

From

C. S. Palasundaram Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Goverimeni of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.150/M.F.332-24-2 dated -- 6th August 1925 I have the honor to forward herewith report No.14 dated 25th August 1925 received from the Peshkar of the Sri ---- Chamarajeswaraswami temple explaining the nature of the duties of the Gadekari office and stating that though Tirumalachar was --- designated as such yet he was engaged on clerical work and was in receipt of the pay of that office alone which was being brought -- under savings.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner.

(absent from station.)

KSR.

Annexure 14.

1142

1293/III-4

B. S. Narasimha Rao

25. 8. 25

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1792/304.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mug)

, *the* 192 ..

Date of issue...26-10-1925

Signed by...

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as standing order ?

iii published in the English Part te,

iv translated ?

v placed at the sposal of the ?

vi published in tl Gazette, Kannada Pa ?

Section Mug

File No. 322-26

Serial No. 11

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Correspondence ending with

Read— Letter no. 1293 of 24-25 dt 21-5-25 from the Asst Commissioner Nagamangala

Correspondence ending with the letter no. 1293 of 24-25 dt 21-5-25 from the Asst Commissioner Nagamangala stating that Timmarachar Nagadi Ganesha, Gudibanda Taluk, who has been retired has put in a service of more than 10 yrs from 7-1-1918 to 11-4-25

Order Thereon No.

both days inclusive in the office of the Asst Commissioner

recommending that this period of
~~Mag~~ service of the official
may be counted towards
pension, the proportionate
share of the pension being met from
the funds of the institution.

Order:- 473-4 / Mag 322-26-6.

The Magisterial Committee's
recommendation is sanctioned.

Secretary
Rev: Dept.

To
the Mag: Comte, Rec'd
per: papers
"Complete to"

Que re file
d. 26-10-25 — to Mr C.
in the circumstances of the
case, Govt are pleased to
sanction the proposal of the
Mag Comte to commit the
official service in the temple
for pension. As the addl
pension charge which the
proposal entails is only
Rs 2/- p. m, Govt are pleased
to direct that the whole
pension be debited to
List of enclosures.
Govt Rev. as a special
case

Copied by

Examined by

SPD 1868—GPB—1,000 00—21.12 21